LIC Son Diogo OIA-446 CHECKLIST: IRB Chair and Vice Chair Evaluation							7		
UC San Diego	NUMBER		DATE		F	PAGE			
INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD ADMINISTRATION	OIA-446		12/21/2023		1	l of 2			
 The purpose of this checklist is to allow individuals to conduct evaluations of IRB chairs and vice chairs within the IRB. These evaluations should be completed as requested on behalf of the <u>institutional official</u>. Evaluations are based on the expectations set out in the OIA-540 <i>Template Agreement: IRB Member Agreement.</i> Indicate one of the proficiency levels (Exceeds Expectations, Meets Expectations, or Needs Improvement) for each criteria, as well as recommendations for any "Needs Improvement" responses. All references to specific date ranges refer to business days. 									
Member Name									
D	epartment/Expertise					-			
Representative Capacity		Children Disabled Economically Disadvantaged Prisoners Cognitively Impaired Other: Pregnant Subjects							
		IRB A:	ir 🗌] Vice Chair	IRB B:	Chair	Vice Chair		
IRB Membership/Status		IRB C:	ir 🗌] Vice Chair	IRB D:	Chair	Vice Chair		
		IRB O/P:	ir 🗌] Vice Chair	IRB S:	Chair	Vice Chair		
Name of Person C	Completing Checklist	Self-Eva	luation 🗌 OI	A Director		istant Director	OIA Staff		
	Routine				ance Improveme				
IRB	Member confi was current	mber confirmed information on file Information Information				d IRB Member			
1 Objective Criteria Number of meetings attended (maximum honoraria bi-annually, members are required to attend at least 2/3 of the meetings per 6-month period (4 of 6) for each IRB on which they serve as a member.									
Completion of checklists, action items, or equivalent: Checklists, action items, and/or their equivalents provide supportive documentation for the record and for analysts composing post-meeting correspondence and minutes. Description Exceeds Expectations Accurately completed prior to the Accurately completed at the Accurately complete									
meeting, then updated if neo		completed accurately or lack protocol-specific findings Recommendations for improvement:							
Overall objective criteria comments:									
2 Subjective Criteria						-			
Leadership of the IRB and									

vote/determination is made for each item on the agenda. The chair/vice chair sets an example for the IRB members and the tone of the discussions/deliberations by maintaining a respectful attitude towards the other members and their views, as well as towards the investigator and their team.

UC San Diego OIA-446 CHECKLIST: IRB Chair and Vice Chair Evaluation									
	NUMBER	DATE	PAGE						
INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD ADMINISTRATION	OIA-446	12/21/2023	2 of 2						
Exceeds Expectations	: Deets E	Needs Improvement: Recommendations for impro	Needs Improvement: Recommendations for improvement:						
Preparedness for meetings, timeliness of reviews, and communication with investigators: Reviews are completed prior to the meeting, providing the committee analyst sufficient time to contact the investigator with requested revisions or clarifications, preferably 2-3 days prior to the meeting. Updated documents provided by the researcher are evaluated prior to the meeting, if applicable.									
Quality of reviews: Required modifications include page numbers and sections of documents, when applicable. Requests for clarifications have been made prior to the meeting, when possible. Requested revisions or clarifications are focused on the criteria for approval, rather than on grammar, spelling, and style issues, unless these affect the interpretation of the document. Requested revisions or clarifications do not unnecessarily use jargon and provide enough context so that they are clear and readily understandable by analysts.									
Communication with IRB staff: Chair/vice chair is responsive to communications from the committee analyst. Chair/vice chair contacts the committee analyst within the designated time frame prior to assignments being made if they will not be available to conduct reviews. If the chair/vice chair is assigned a review and finds they will be unavailable to review for the meeting, the chair/vice chair communicates with the committee analyst at least 2-3 days prior to the meeting and informs the committee analyst that another reviewer will be needed. If the chair/vice chair finds they will be unavailable to chair the meeting, the chair/vice chair communicates with the committee analyst at least 2-3 days prior to the meeting, the chair/vice chair communicates with the committee analyst as soon as possible and contacts the vice chair and/or appropriate other IRB member to chair the meeting in their absence.									
Exceeds Expectations	: Meets E	expectations:	Recommendations for impro	vement:					
Overall subjective criteria comments:									
3 Overall Performance	and Recommendations								
Overall Performance	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement (See below for additional record (See below for additional record)	mmendations)					
Next Periodic Evaluation	Next OIA Assessment	Twelve (12) Months	Six (6) Months Other:	,					
Additional Recommendations	Change from leadership to re Additional training required: Performance improvement per months	Addition	val from IRB onal training recommended:						
Feedback Provided By	 Discussion with: Director, OIA Assistant Director, OIA Institutional Official Other: 	Letter	to the IRB Member						
Evaluation Provided To	Department Chair	Supervisor	Other:	None					
Additional Comments:									